#### DISAGGREGATION OF FREIGHT ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK (FAF) DATA FOR LOCAL FREIGHT PLANNING STUDIES: A CASE STUDY OF FLORIDA.

Lissy La Paix, Ph.D., P.E.

**CTS Engineering** 

Thomas Hill

**FDOT Forecasting & Trends Office** 

#### Contents

Introduction

Objectives

Data and Methods

#### **Results:**

- Why is this different?
- Why is this useful?
- What did we improve?
- What can be improved?

#### Conclusions

#### **Introduction & Objectives:**





STUDIES: A CASE STUDY OF FLORIDA.

#### Methods



#### **Data and Methods**



Transportation Research Board, January 7-11, Washington D.C.

## Why is this different?

- Use of ordered structure.
- Estimation **tonnage** coefficient separately.
- Use **mode share** from public data source (FAF5).
- Forecast based on simulation with

estimated coefficients.



## Why is this useful?

- Estimation and forecast at **disaggregated** level.
- Generate **scenario** maps (what if) statewide TAZ level.
- Prepare integrated local transport plans **per zone.**
- Provide the corresponding **supply per zone.**
- Analyze impacts per commodity.
- <u>Visualization</u>



#### What did we improve?

- Use of public data to estimate county and TAZ level flows per commodity.
- Model structure:
  - Introduce **flexibility** to the model estimation.
  - Improve model robustness
- Forecast based on simulation vs linear projection
- Estimation of elasticities



## Elasticities: Why is this useful?

- What does an elasticity mean?
- Why distance?
  - Affects modal shift
- Observe the impact of **route distance** over specific categories/commodities tonnage.
- Impacts are not linear.



Calculation details available upon request

### Elasticities: Why is this useful?

- Impacts of the availability of **intermodal** facilities per commodity and **category**.
- Substantial differences among **commodities**.
- How can we implement this to passenger, e.g.?
  - Ordinal
  - Ridership



#### Elasticities map at TAZ level



#### What can be improved?

- Simultaneous estimation of mode-flows choice.
- **Path/route choice** estimation based on observations.
- Thresholds per commodity different nature.

Probabilities Categories	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
Assigned to	FCC 4	FCC 3	FCC 1
	FCC 5	FCC 8	FCC 2
	FCC 6		FCC Q
	FCC 7		FCC 12
	FCC 8		
	FCC 11		



#### **Summary & Challenges**



**Disaggregate** flows and mode share based on public data.

Fusing data and methods across research projects.



Challenge: accurate data publicly available (e.g. GPS) to develop a simultaneous estimation framework.



Need: gather observed route choices.

# Thank you

Lissy La Paix, Ph.D., P.E.

Ilapaix@CTSeinc.com





#### What can be improved?

- Simultaneous estimation of mode-flows choice.  ${}^{\bullet}$
- Path/route choice estimation based on ۲ observations.
- Thresholds per commodity different nature

The shows per con	minount	y antei	<b>ent</b> natur	<u>.</u>	
	Continuous Value			4,000.00	
Probabilities Categories	Туре А	Туре В	Туре С	Tyde D	✓ 2,000.00
P_tonCat01 - 1:<25 Tons	25	25	25	25	
P_tonCat02 25-100 Tons	100	100	100	100	eral Prooc P
P_tonCat03 100-200 Tons	200	200	200	200	Aline Aline Buctur Lur Lur trol
P_tonCat04 200-1000 Tons	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	al P Ch Pe
P_tonCat05 1,000-2,000 Tons	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	Mai
P_tonCat06 2.000 - 6.000 Tons	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000	je icu
P tonCat07 >6,000 Tons	100,000	300,000	190,000	120.000	Agu
		FCC 4	FCC 3	FCC 1	phr
		FCC 5	FCC 8	FCC 2	ž
Assigned to		FCC 6		FCC 9	FCC COMMODITII
		FCC 7		FCC 12	Mean Std C
		FCC 8			
		FCC 11			



DISAGGREGATION OF FREIGHT DATA FOR LOCAL FREIGHT PLANNING STUDIES: A CASE STUDY OF FLORIDA.

ш ច