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## Probe Travel Time/Speed Data

- Reasonable travel times are vital in demand models and important in transportation planning.
- Most model components heavily rely on roadway travel time/speed data.
- Some transportation performance measures are travel time-based which will need reliable travel times from travel models.
- Use observed roadway travel time/speed for the entire model network
- Develop free-flow speed and volume delay function parameters (model development)
- Verify estimated congested roadway speed (model validation)
- Objectives:
- Reduced model calibration/validation effort
- Increased confidence in applying model travel time for performance measures in short term or long-term analysis


## Probe Travel Time/Speed Data

- Major probe speed data vendors:
- HERE
- INRIX
- TomTom
- Proprietary data

- Probe GPS data is precise.
- Research study ${ }^{1}$ compared probe speeds data with other traffic speed data resources.
- The statistical validation results showed that vendors reflected the normal patterns of traffic travel speed.


## Probe Travel Time/Speed Data

- The probe time/speed data are in Don't Average, 5 minutes, 10 minutes, 15 minutes or 1-hour bin.
- Each Traffic Message Channel (TMC) has 35,040 15-minutes probe speeds data observations in a year.
- Each TMC segment has one unique 9-digit TMC Code.

| tmc_code | measurement_tstamp | speed | travel_time_seconds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20150: 00$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20150: 15$ | 54.32 | 143.11 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20150: 30$ | 55.7 | 139.58 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20150: 45$ | 54.38 | 142.95 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20151: 00$ | 49.91 | 155.75 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20151: 15$ | 49.53 | 156.96 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20151: 30$ | 52.53 | 147.99 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20151: 45$ | 51.61 | 150.63 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20152: 00$ | 50.68 | 153.41 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20152: 15$ | 46.94 | 165.62 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20152: 30$ | 48.84 | 159.19 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20152: 45$ | 50.06 | 155.3 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20153: 00$ | 54.38 | 142.97 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20153: 15$ | 59.86 | 129.89 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20153: 30$ | 59.49 | 130.68 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20153: 45$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20154: 00$ | 58.49 | 132.92 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20154: 15$ | 59.71 | 130.2 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20154: 30$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20154: 45$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20155: 00$ | 58.27 | 133.43 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20155: 15$ | 59.37 | 130.95 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20155: 30$ | 56.14 | 138.48 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20155: 45$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20156: 00$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20156: 15$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20156: 30$ | 57.77 | 134.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20156: 45$ | 59.61 | 130.43 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20157: 00$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20157: 15$ | 59.87 | 129.85 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20157: 30$ | 60 | 129.57 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20157: 45$ | 59.87 | 129 |
| $102+04784$ | $1 / 1 / 20158: 00$ | 58.15 | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots . .$. |  |  | 1 |

15-minutes probe speed data example from one TMC

## Probe Speed Data Coverage



Total 58,545 TMCs in Florida in 2022 Insight


Travel Demand Model Region

## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 1 Region




## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 2 Region
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## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 3 Region


Insight

## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 4 Region



## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 4 Region (zoom in)



## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 5 Region
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## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 6 Region
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## Probe Speed Data Coverage

- District 7 Region

Insight



## Probe Speed Data Coverage

TMC Length in District


## Modeling Project Experience Using Probe Speed Data

- Central Florida Regional Planning Model
- 11 Counties, Five MPOs
- 4.6 million population
- Around 29\% of roadway network has HERE probe speeds in 2015.


Insight

## Modeling Project Experience Using Probe Speed Data

- Link21 Model
- New transbay rail tunnel connecting Oakland and San Francisco
- Around 55\% of links in the model network have probe speeds.



## Free-Flow Speed

- Free-flow speeds were estimated using the probe speed data on Sunday from 7-8 am.
- Time-of-period observed speeds were developed using the weekday probe speed data.
- In CFRPM example
- AM peak period (7:00 am to 10:00 am)
- PM peak period (3:30 pm to 6:30 pm)
- Midday (10:00 am to 3:30 pm)
- Night (6:30 pm to 7:00 am)


## Free-Flow Speed

Free Flow Speed vs Posted Speed Limit on Freeway


## Free-Flow Speed

- Class II refers to arterials with an average signal density from 2-4.5 signals per mile.
- Class III refers to arterials with an average signal density of at least 4.5 signals per mile.

Class IV refers to arterials in the downtown cores in urbanized areas that have at least 1,000,000 people.

Free Flow Speed vs Posted Speed Limit on Divided Arterial II, III, IV


## Free-Flow Speed

- Observed free-flow speed was considered as dependent variable and posted speed as an independent variable.
- $Y=a * X$

Where:

- $Y$ = free-flow speed
- $X=$ posted speed
- $a=$ slope

| Facility Type | Free-Flow Speed |
| :--- | :---: |
| Freeways (Toll \& Non-Toll) | $1.05^{*}$ Posted Speed |
| Unsignalized Arterials | $1.00^{*}$ Posted Speed |
| Class I Arterials | $0.95^{*}$ Posted Speed |
| Class II/IIIIV Arterials | $0.90^{*}$ Posted Speed |
| Local Roads | $0.90^{*}$ Posted Speed |
| Freeway and Other on/off Ramps | $1.00^{*}$ Posted Speed |
| Freeway-to-Freeway Ramps and Freeway-Collector/Distributor Ramps | $1.05^{*}$ Posted Speed |

## Free-Flow Speed

- Observed HERE travel speed used to develop / confirm free-flow speeds
- Result: 2/3rds of links have free-flow speed within $10 \%$ of observed values
- Observations:
- Data is noisy
- Data not available for some road types
- Professional judgement still needed

| County | Percentage of Links with Est./Obs. FF Ratio |  | Number of <br> Links |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{0 . 9}$ <br> (less than 10\%) | $\mathbf{0 . 9 - 1 . 1}$ <br> (within 10\%) |  |  |
| Brevard | 12.7 | 73.0 | 14.3 | 3,487 |
| Flagler | 15.2 | 69.1 | 15.7 | 362 |
| Indian River | 23.3 | 65.4 | 11.3 | 335 |
| Lake | 22.7 | 74.0 | 3.3 | 1,157 |
| Marion | 15.8 | 73.6 | 10.6 | 1,857 |
| Orange | 8.4 | 60.9 | 30.6 | 4,274 |
| Osceola | 11.8 | 65.8 | 22.5 | 842 |
| Polk | 26.5 | 53.7 | 19.7 | 3,321 |
| Seminole | 7.3 | 77.8 | 14.9 | 1,252 |
| Sumter | 33.5 | 64.6 | 1.9 | 418 |
| Volusia | 16.5 | 67.8 | 15.7 | 2,825 |
| Region | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 1 3 0}$ |

Table from CFRPM 7 Validation Report

## Travel Time Estimation

Bureau of Public Roads (BPR) volume-delay function:
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}} /\left(1+d * a *(v o l / c a p)^{b}\right)$
Where:

- $S_{f}$ is the free-flow speed
- $d$ is the damping factor
- $a$ is the LOS coefficient
- vol is the traffic volume of a network link
- cap is the capacity of a network link
- $b$ is the BPR Exponent
- $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is the computed travel speed


## Travel Time Estimation

- In this example, the exponent is set at 3 and the damping factor is set at 0.5.

Changes in BPR Equation
Coefficient varies from 0.15 to 0.75


$$
\begin{array}{c|llll} 
& -0.15 & 0.3 & 0.45-0.6-0.75 \\
\text { LOS Coefficient } & -0.2 & 0.35 & 0.5-0.65 \\
& -0.25 & 0.4 & 0.55-0.7
\end{array}
$$

## Travel Time Estimation

Changes in BPR Equation

- In this example, the LOS coefficient is 0.15 and the damping factor is 0.5 .



## Travel Time Estimation

Changes in BPR Equation

- In this example, the LOS coefficient is 0.15 and the exponent is 3 .



## Travel Time Estimation



## Travel Time Estimation



## Travel Time Estimation
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## Travel Time Estimation



## Travel Time Estimation

- Compared HERE travel time data with congested speed from highway assignment at a corridor-level
- Identified 100 roadway corridors throughout region
- Includes all freeways/limited-access roadways
- Also includes selected major arterials
- Each corridor $10+$ miles in length to smooth out individual link anomalies
- Developed validation benchmarks:
- $80 \%$ of corridors $\leq 20 \%$ of observed
- $50 \%$ of corridors $\leq 10 \%$ of observed
- Benchmarks applied to all 4 time periods



## Travel Time Estimation

| Period | Acceptable <br> Percentage* | Acceptable <br> Standard | Preferable <br> Percentage* | Preferable <br> Standard |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | $88 \%$ of links are <br> within $20 \%$ | $80 \%$ of links are <br> within $20 \%$ | $62 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ | $50 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ |
| MD | $83 \%$ of links are <br> within 20\% | $80 \%$ of links are <br> within $20 \%$ | $52 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ | $50 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ |
| PM | $82 \%$ of links are <br> within 20\% | $80 \%$ of links are <br> within $20 \%$ | $53 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ | $50 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ |
| NT | $99 \%$ of links are <br> within $20 \%$ | $80 \%$ of links are <br> within $20 \%$ | $94 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ | $50 \%$ of links are <br> within $10 \%$ |

*Green $=$ Within Range; Red $=$ Out of Range
Sources: CFRPM 7; FDOT. 2008. Model Calibration and Validation Standards Report
Table reflects roadway corridors of 10+ miles in length, not links as stated

## Travel Time Estimation

|  | Road | Dir | Section | Length (mile) | AM Travel Time (min) |  |  | MD Travel Time (min) |  |  | PM Travel Time (min) |  |  | NT Travel Time (min) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Obs: | Est. | \% $\Delta$ | Obs. | Est. | \% 4 | Obs. | Est. | \% 4 | Obs. | Est. | $\% 8$ |
|  | 1-4 | EB | North Polk boundary to SR 408 | 24.9 | 27.2 | 47.8 | 76 | 27.5 | 29.2 | 6 | 31.5 | 28.5 | -9 | 24.7 | 30.1 | 22 |
|  | 1-4 | WB | SR 408 to North Polk boundary | 24.9 | 25.6 | 27 | 6 | 25.1 | 29.8 | 19 | 31.6 | 48.1 | 52 | 25 | 29.5 | 18 |
|  | 1-4 | EB | SR 408 to 1-95 | 49.5 | 47.9 | 48.6 | 2 | 47.6 | 51 | 7 | 55.6 | 70.4 | 27 | 46.6 | 50.1 | 8 |
|  | 1-4 | WB | 1-95 to SR 408 | 48.7 | 50 | 61.6 | 23 | 45.8 | 49 | 7 | 49.3 | 50.8 | 3 | 45.5 | 48.5 | 7 |
|  | SR 429 | NB | 1-4 to SR 441 | 41.4 | 39 | 38 | -3 | 38.9 | 36.2 | -7 | 38.5 | 37 | -4 | 39 | 36.1 | -7 |
|  | SR 429 | SB | SR 441 to 1-4 | 41 | 38.2 | 36 | -6 | 38.6 | 35.7 | -7 | 38.2 | 37.2 | -2 | 38.8 | 35.7 | -8 |
|  | SR 417 | NB | 1-4 to I-4 | 52.3 | 47.6 | 48.3 | 1 | 47.8 | 45.4 | -5 | 48.7 | 48.6 | 0 | 48.2 | 45.4 | -6 |
|  | SR 417 | SB | 1-4 to 1-4 | 51.4 | 46.9 | 45.9 | -2 | 46.8 | 44.5 | -5 | 47 | 47.4 | 1 | 47 | 44.5 | -5 |
|  | Florida's Turnpike | NB | West Indian River boundary to SR 417 | 58.7 | 49.8 | 51.2 | 3 | 49.8 | 50.6 | 2 | 49.9 | 50.4 | 1 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 0 |
|  | Florida's Turnpike | SB | SR 417 to West Indian River boundary | 59.7 | 51.1 | 51.2 | 0 | 51 | 51.5 | 1 | 51 | 53.4 | 5 | 51.7 | 51.3 | -1 |
|  | Florida's Turnpike | NB | SR 417 to East Lake boundary | 24.1 | 21.5 | 25.4 | 18 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 0 | 21.4 | 22.9 | 7 | 21.5 | 21.2 | -1 |
|  | Florida's Tumpike | SB | East Lake boundary to SR 417 | 23.9 | 21 | 22.8 | 9 | 21 | 21.3 | 1 | 21.3 | 25.2 | 18 | 21.1 | 20.7 | $-2$ |
|  | SR 528 | EB | 1-4 to SR 417 | 14.6 | 16.4 | 15.6 | -5 | 15.7 | 15.5 | -1 | 16.8 | 17.4 | 4 | 16.1 | 15.4 | -4 |
|  | SR 528 | WB | SR 417 to 1-4 | 14.7 | 15.2 | 16.4 | 8 | 15.2 | 15.6 | 2 | 17.3 | 16 | -7 | 15.4 | 15.6 | 1 |
|  | SR 528 | EB | SR 417 to SR A1A | 38.4 | 36.1 | 34.6 | -4 | 36.4 | 34.8 | -4 | 36.1 | 43.9 | 21 | 36.7 | 34.7 | -5 |
|  | SR 528 | WB | SR A1A to SR 417 | 38.2 | 35 | 43.6 | 25 | 34.9 | 34.6 | -1 | 34.7 | 34.8 | 0 | 35.4 | 34.6 | -2 |
|  | SR 408 | EB | Florida's Turnpike to SR 50 | 22.3 | 23.2 | 25.3 | 9 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 0 | 24 | 24.6 | 3 | 22.8 | 22.5 | -1 |
|  | SR 408 | WB | SR 50 to Florida's Tumpike | 21.7 | 23.1 | 23.6 | 2 | 21.6 | 22 | 2 | 22 | 25.1 | 14 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 1 |
|  | SR 50 | EB | SR 429 to SR 520 | 28.9 | 58 | 52 | -10 | 63.2 | 51.2 | -19 | 68.9 | 67.5 | -2 | 47.8 | 49.1 | 3 |
|  | SR 50 | WB | SR 520 to SR 429 | 28.9 | 60.2 | 64.5 | 7 | 63.6 | 49.2 | -23 | 65.3 | 53.9 | -17 | 47.3 | 49 | 4 |
|  | SR 436 | NB | SR 528 to US 17 | 15.2 | 30.5 | 25.3 | -17 | 31.4 | 23.2 | -26 | 34.1 | 26.6 | -22 | 24.3 | 22.4 | -8 |
|  | SR 436 | SB | US 17 to SR 528 | 14.9 | 30.4 | 24.7 | -19 | 31.8 | 23.4 | -26 | 35 | 26.3 | -25 | 24.3 | 22.6 | -7 |
| nsight <br> Transportation Consulting | IIS 197 | FR | 1.4 tn Flinrida's Turnnike | 151 | 288 | 226 | -23 | 324 | 237 | -29 | 351 | 317 | -10 | 25 | 227 | -9 |

## Observations

- Data match speed observation:
- Successfully achieved travel time benchmarks
- Overall, estimated travel times are reasonable
- Congestion overestimated along some portions of freeway


## Observations

- Observations:
- Travel time results help practitioners know if the model is good or not in their corridor
- Achieved desired increase in confidence in model travel time/speed for performance measures or other planning needs


## Observations

- Observations:
- Use probe speed data to calculate site to site travel time with comparison of model results.
- Use probe speed data to review over-assignment and under-assignment issues for subarea model validation.

Questions


Insight

